RE: OPPOSE SB-94 AN ACT ALLOWING PHARMACISTS TO ADMINISTER THE INFLUENZA VACCINE TO CHILDREN TWELVE YEARS OF AGE AND OLDER.

Dear members of the Public Health Committee,

I am urging you to **OPPOSE SB-94**. My primary objection is that the language of this bill is too vague and **does not include content that protects parental rights** and their informed consent of for their minor children. This bill would also completely violate Section 300aa-26of the 1986 **National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act**:

 $\frac{https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2016-title 42/html/USCODE-2016-title 42-chap 6A-subchap XIX-part 2-subpart c-sec 300 aa-26. htm$

In addition, even if minors were accompanied by their consenting parents, vaccinations provided by a pharmacist do not go into permanent medical records therefore subsequent adverse reactions to a vaccine can easily be missed and undocumented. Flu shots have the highest number of adverse reactions reported to VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System) a database of vaccine injury/death that according to a Harvard study only captures approximately 1% of actual cases. Pharmacists are not even medically trained to respond to adverse reactions. This bill also has the potential to allow for duplicate vaccinations to be administered placing our children at even graver risk of injury/death.

This proposed bill is a complete **overreach by government** and **pharmaceutical greed.** There is no compelling state interest except for the further erosion of what seems to be an **accelerated attack on parental rights and the well being of our children in the state of CT this legislative session.**

I implore you to vote NO on SB858.

Respectfully,

Gina Consiglio Madison, CT